# Terpenoids from Achillea clusiana

Milka N. Todorova<sup>a,\*</sup>, Elena T. Tsankova<sup>a</sup>, Rilka Ml. Taskova<sup>b</sup> and Dimitar R. Peev<sup>b</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Institute of Organic Chemistry with Centre of Phytochemistry, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria. E-mail: todorova@orgchm.bas.bg
- <sup>b</sup> Institute of Botany, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
- \* Author for correspondence and reprint requests
- Z. Naturforsch. **54c**, 1011–1015 (1999); received July 28/September 3, 1999

Achillea clusiana, Asteraceae, Terpenoids, Chrysanthemol Derivatives, Sesquiterpene Lactones

Aerial parts of *Achillea clusiana* include two new chrysanthemol derivatives and three new guaianolides in addition to six known sesquiterpenoids. The structures of the new compounds were elucidated by spectroscopic methods.

#### Introduction

Achillea clusiana Tausch is described in Flora Europea as a strongly aromatic plant occurring in eastern Alps and in the mountains of Bulgaria and Yugoslavia only (Richardson et al., 1976). The limited distribution of this species could be the reason for the total lack of information in the literature about its chemical constituents. In a continuation of our current chemical study on Achillea species (Todorova et al. 1998; Todorova et al. 1998); we now present the results from the phytochemical study of A. clusiana and report the isolation of five new terpenoids.

## **Experimental**

Plant material

The above ground parts of *A. clusiana* were collected at the flowering stage in 1996 from Rila mountain. A voucher specimen (SOM 154207) was deposited in the herbarium of the Institute of Botany, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia.

# Extraction and isolation

The air-dried plant material (100 g) was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (2 x 500 ml) at room temperature. After concentration *in vacuo* and working up as described earlier (Todorova *et al.*, 1998), a crude lactone fraction (1.6 g) was obtained. This residue was separated by column chromatography (CC) on silica gel (50 g) using solvent mixture (hexane-acetone) with increasing polarities. Selected fractions were additionally purified by CC and prep. TLC (silica gel, hexane-acetone mix-

tures) to give **1** (3.4 mg), **2**(4 mg),**3** (7 mg),**4** (18 mg), **5** and **6** (18 mg mixture), **7** (5 mg), **8** (10 mg), **9** (2 mg), **10** (3 mg), and **11** (5 mg).

4-Hydroxy-4,5-dihydro-trans-chrysanthem-5-en-1-acetate (1)

Colourless oil, IR  $\nu_{max}^{film}$  (cm $^{-1}$ ): 3600 (OH), 1740, 1250 (OAc); EIMS (70 eV): 212 [M] $^{+}$  (1), 195 [M-17] $^{+}$  (55), 153 [M-18 $^{-}$ 42] $^{+}$  (3), 135 [195 $^{-}$ 60] $^{+}$  (70), 97 (20), 65 (100);  $^{1}$ H NMR: in Table I.

4-Hydroxy-4,5-dihydro-cis-chrysanthem-5-en-1-acetate (2)

Colourless oil, IR v<sub>max</sub> (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3600 (OH), 1740, 1250 (OAc); EIMS (70 eV): 212 [M]<sup>+</sup> (1), 195 [M-17]<sup>+</sup> (50), 153 [M-18–42]<sup>+</sup> (5), 135 [195–60]<sup>+</sup> (70), 97 (20), 65 (100); <sup>1</sup>H NMR: in Table I.

2α-Hydroxyguaia-3,10(14), 11(13)-trien-12,6αolide (**9**)

Colourless oil, IR  $v_{max}^{film}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3600 (OH), 1770 ( $\gamma$ -lactone); CIMS (NH<sub>3</sub>) m/z (rel. int.): 264 [M+NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (100), 246 [M]<sup>+</sup> (45), 228 [M-18]<sup>+</sup> (20), <sup>1</sup>H NMR: in Table II.

1α, 4β-Dihydroxy-2,10(14), 11(13)-trien-12,6αolide (**10**)

Colourless oil, IR  $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm film}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3600 (OH), 1775 ( $\gamma$ -lactone); CIMS (NH<sub>3</sub>) m/z (rel. int.) 280 [M+NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (100), 262 [M]<sup>+</sup> (13), 245 (10), 227 (3); EIMS (70 eV): 262 [M]<sup>+</sup> (10), 247 [M-15]<sup>+</sup> (100), 244 [M-18]<sup>+</sup> (4), 229 (20), 211 (10), 98 (43); <sup>1</sup>H NMR: in Table II.

0939-5075/99/1200-1011 \$ 06.00 © 1999 Verlag der Zeitschrift für Naturforschung, Tübingen ⋅ www.znaturforsch.com ⋅ D



Dieses Werk wurde im Jahr 2013 vom Verlag Zeitschrift für Naturforschung in Zusammenarbeit mit der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft zur Förderung der Wissenschaften e.V. digitalisiert und unter folgender Lizenz veröffentlicht: Creative Commons Namensnennung-Keine Bearbeitung 3.0 Deutschland

This work has been digitalized and published in 2013 by Verlag Zeitschrift für Naturforschung in cooperation with the Max Planck Society for the Advancement of Science under a Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs 3.0 Germany License.

# 2-epi-Chloroklotzchin (11)

Colourless oil, IR  $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{film}}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3550 (OH), 1760 ( $\gamma$ -lactone); CIMS (NH<sub>3</sub>) m/z (rel. int.): 332 [M+NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (12), 315 [M+1]<sup>+</sup>(100), 297 [M+1-18]<sup>+</sup> (23), 279 [297-18]<sup>+</sup> (10), 261 [297-HCl]<sup>+</sup> (12), 243 [261-18]<sup>+</sup> (10); <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR: in Table III.

#### **Results and Discussion**

An Achillea clusiana population occurring in the Rila mountain was the subject of the present study. The CHCl<sub>3</sub> extract of the sample was worked up as described bellow to give the corresponding lactone fraction which was subjected to further chromatographic separation yielding eleven compounds. Six of them were assigned, by analogy of their spectral data to those published, as the oxygenated nerolidol derivative 3 (Appendino et al., 1985), the 1,10-secoguaianolides 4 (Huneck et al, 1986), 5 and 6 (Tan et al., 1991), and the guaianolides canin (7) and artecanin (8) (Bohlmann and Zdero, 1982). However, the other five compounds

Table I. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral data of 1 and 2 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

Н	1	2
1 1'	4.11 dd (11.6, 7.0) 4.00 dd (11.6, 8.0)	4.10 dd (7.5, 0.8)
2	0.86 ddd (7.0, 8.0, 5.3)	0.98 ddd (7.5, 7.5, 5.0)
3 4 6 6' 7	0.75 dd (9.6, 5.3)	0.75 dd (9.3, 5.0)
4	3.66 br d (9.6)	3.65 d (9.3)
6	4.81 q (1.5)	4.85 q (1.0)
6'	4.95 q (0.9)	4.97 q (1.0)
7	1.80 br s	1.79 br s
9	1.24 s	1.10 s
10	1.15 s	1.11 s
OAc	2.03 s	2.07 s

were proved to be new natural terpenoids and their structures elucidated on the basis of their spectroscopic properties.

Compounds 1 and 2 gave rise to identical MS spectra, which exhibited a weak peak at m/z 212, in agreement with a molecular formula C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and two prominent peaks at m/z 195 [M-17]<sup>+</sup> and 135  $[M-17-60]^+$ . The structural similarity of **1** and 2 was further shown by their <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (Table I) which indicated the presence of a cyclopropane ring bearing two gem-methyl groups, an acetoxymethyl and a hydroxyisobutenyl group. These data proved to be very close to those reported for some chrysanthemol hydroperoxides (Zdero et al., 1987; Kastner et al., 1995), thus showing that 1 and 2 were acetylated chrysanthemol derivatives which differed in the stereochemistry at C-2. Thus, the syn-orientation of the acetoxymethyl group and one of the methyl groups at C-8 in the trans-isomer 1 apparently caused the observed downfield shift of the corresponding signal ( $\delta$  1.24) and the nonequivalency of the C-1 methylene protons. Further, the upfield shift of the H-2 signal in 1 was clearly due to the shielding effect of the hydroxyisobutenyl group. Hence, 1 and 2 were confirmed as 4-hydroxy-4,5-dihydro-trans-chrysanthem-5-en-1-acetate and 4-hydroxy-4,5-dihydro-cis-chrysanthem-5-en-1-acetate, respectively.

Chrysanthemol derivatives appeared not to be common compounds for the genus *Achillea*, as they have been isolated only from *A.nobilis* so far (Kastner *et al.*, 1995).

Compound 9 was assigned molecular formula  $C_{15}H_{18}O_3$  on the basis of its CIMS spectrum

Table II. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectral data of **9** and **10** in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.

Н	9	12ª	10	13 <sup>b</sup>
1	2.90 dd (3.4, 8.0)	3.15 dd (6.0, 9.0)		
2	4.78 brd (3.4)	4.73 brd (6.2)	5.92 d (5.7)	5.61 d (5.5)
3	5.71 dq (1.7, 1.0)	5.72 dq (2.0, 1.0)	6.13 d (5.7)	5.94 d (5.5)
5	3.07 dd (10.0, 8.0)	2.68 brt (9.0)	2.20 d (11.0)	2.42 d (11.0)
6	4.02 dd (9.0, 10.0)	4.23 dd (9.0, 10.0)	4.41 dd (9.8, 11.0)	4.12 dd (11.0, 9.0)
7	2.83 m	2.84 m	2,69 m	3.29 m
13	6.23 d (3.5)	6.20 d (3.5)	5.51 d (3.2)	5.56 d (3.0)
13'	5.51 d (3.2)	5.48 d (3.0)	6.23 d (3.4)	6.25 d (3.5)
14	4.92 brs	5.08 brs	4.90 d (2.4)	4.75 brs
14'	4.83 brs	6.01 brs	4.94 d (2.4)	4.96 brs
15	1.93 brs	1.95 d (1.0)	1.60	1.34 s

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> See Zdero and Bohlmann (1989).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> See Jakupovic et al. (1991).

([M+NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> at m/z 264). The structure followed from the <sup>1</sup>H NMR data (Table II). All the signals could be assigned by spin decoupling and the stereochemistry of the annelation of the hydroazulene system and the lactone ring could be deduced from the corresponding coupling constants  $J_{1,5}$ =8.0 Hz and  $J_{6,7}$ =9.0 Hz. The only remaining problem was the stereochemistry at C-2. Comparison of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR of **9** with those of **12** (Zdero and Bohlmann, 1989) revealed a significant similarity (see Table II.). However, the observed downfield shift of H-5 ( $\delta$  3.07) and upfield shift of H-6 ( $\delta$  4.02) compared to the corresponding signals in **12** ( $\delta$ 

2.68 and 4.23, respectively) could only be due to a change in the relative stereochemistry at C-2. The suggestion that the newly isolated lactone  $\bf 9$  is the C-2 epimer of  $\bf 12$  was further confirmed by the difference in the vicinal coupling of the carbinolic proton (see Table II). Therefore,  $\bf 9$  was assigned as  $2\alpha$ -hydroxyguaia-3,10(14), 11(13)-trien-12,6-olide.

Compound **10** had the composition  $C_{15}H_{18}O_4$ , as judged by the CIMS – [M+NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> at m/z 280. Its <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (Table II) was very similar to those reported for the guaianolide **13** (Jakupovic *et al.*, 1991) suggesting that both compounds were epimers. Thus, the H-15 and H-6 signals in

Fig. 1.

- 1: 4-hydroxy-4,5-dihydro-*trans*-chrysanthem-5-en-1-acetate;
- 2: 4-hydroxy-4,5-dihydro-cis-chrysanthem-5-en-1-acetate;
- **3:** (*E,E*)-3,7,11-trimethyl-1,6,9-dodecatriene-3,5,11-triol;
- 4: iso-seco-tanapartholide, 5: seco-tanapartholide-A, 6: seco-tanapartholide-B;
- 7: canin, 8: artecanin, 9: 2α-hydroxyguaia-3, 10(14), 11(13)-trien-12,6α-olide
- **10:** 1α, 4α-dihydroxy-2, 10(14), 11(13)-trien-12,6α-olide; **11:** 2-epi-chloroklotzchin;
- **12:** 2 $\beta$ -hydroxyguaia-3,10(14), 11(13)-trien-12,6 $\alpha$ -olide;
- **13:** 1α, 4β-dihydroxy-2,10(14), 11(13)-trien-12,6α-olide, **14:** chloroklotzchin.

10 were shifted to lower field compared to 13, whereas the signals for H-5 and H-7 appeared at higher field. This indicated that the OH group at C-4 in 10 is rather situated in close proximity with H-6 than with H-5 and H-7, as is the arrangement in 13. In addition, the spatial proximity of the C-4 methyl group with the lactone ring resulted in the downfield shift of H-15. Accordingly, compound 10 is the C-4-epimer of 13.

Compound 11 had a molecular formula of  $C_{15}H_{19}O_5Cl$  as determined from CIMS and  $^{13}C$  NMR spectra (Table III). The CIMS (NH<sub>3</sub>) spectrum exhibited, in addition to the base peak at m/z 315 [M+H]<sup>+</sup> peaks at m/z 297, 279, 261 and 243 due to subsequent elimination of two mole-

Table III. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data of **11** (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 250/62.9 MHz).

Position	$\delta_H (J \text{ in Hz})$	$\delta_{C}$	НМВС
1	-	73.2 s	H-3, H-5, H-9 (δ 1.92), H-14
2	3.86 d (0.8)	75.8 d	
2 3 4 5 6	4.10 d (0.8)	64.1 d	H-15
4	_	65.8 s	H-2
5	2.80 d (10.9)	49.8 d	H-3, H-15
6	4.37 dd (10.9, 9.7)	78.5 d	H-8 ( $\delta$ 2.33)
7 8	3.60 m	43.3 d	H-5, H-9 ( $\delta$ 1.92), 2H-13
8	2.33 m 1.65 m <sup>a</sup>	22.9 t	H-6
9	1.92 dd (5.6, 9.1) 1.65 m <sup>a</sup>	33.6 t	H-14
10	_	81.2 s	H-8 (\delta 1.65), H-5, H-2
11	_	140.0 s	(,
12	_	170.0 s	2H-13
13	5.45 d (3.3) 6.19 d (3.6)	119.2 t	
14	1.23 s	23.4 q	H-9 (8 1.92)
15	1.56 s	24.0 q	H-5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Overlapping signals.

cules of H<sub>2</sub>O and HCl. From the results of COSY and HMBC correlation (Table III), it was concluded that 11 was a C-1 chlorinated guaianolide with three oxygen containing functionalities - an oxirane and two hydroxyl groups at C-2 and C-10. Comparison of the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectral data of 11 with those of chloroklotzchin (14) (Mata et al., 1985) showed a significant similarity, the only difference being the chemical shifts of the signals for H-2, H-3 and H-14. In an attempt to determine the relative stereochemistry at the chiral centres of 11, the 2D NOESY was measured. It revealed the syn- $\alpha$ -orientation of H-7. H-5 and the C-4 methyl group, and also the spatial proximity of H-2 and the C-10 methyl group. The  $\alpha$ -orientation of the C-2 hydroxyl group apparantly coused the observed upfield shift of the H-14 signal to δ 1.23 when compare with that in chloroklotzchin ( $\delta$ 1.49). Additionally, the anti-orientation of H-2 and H-3 obviously affected the magnitude of the coupling constant between them, causing the observed decrease to 0.8 Hz, when compare to chloroklotzchin ( $J_{2,3}$ =2 Hz), (Mata et al., 1985). Therefore, the lactone 11 must be 2-epi-chloroklotzchin.

The chloro containing terpenoids are nowadays accepted as naturally occurring products, but the presence of a halogenated sesquiterpene lactone has no precedent in the *Achillea* genus.

## Acknowledgements

The partial support of this work by the National Foundation for Scientific Research of Bulgaria is gratefully acknowledged.

- Appendino G., Gariboldi P. and Menichini F.(1985), Oxygenated nerolidol derivatives from *Artemisia alba*. Phytochemistry **24**, 1729–1733.
- Phytochemistry **24**, 1729–1733.

  Bohlmann F. and Zdero C. (1982), Sesquiterpene lactones and other constituents from *Tanacetum parthenium*. Phytochemistry **21**, 2543–2549.
- Huneck S., Zdero C. and Bohlmann F. (1986), Seco-guaianolides and other constituents from *Artemisia* species. Phytochemistry 25, 883–889
- cies. Phytochemistry **25**, 883–889.

  Jakupovic J., Tan R. X., Bohlmann F., Jia Z. J. and Huneck S. (1991), Sesquiterpene lactones from *Artemisia rutifolia*. Phytochemistry **30**, 1714–1716.
- Kastner U., Breuer J., Glasl S., Baumann A., Robien W., Jurenisch J., Rucker G. and Kubelka W. (1995), Guaianolide-endoperoxides and monoterpene hydroperoxides from *Achillea nobilis*. Planta Med. **61**, 83–85.
- Mata R., Delgado G. and Romo de Vivar A. (1985), Sesquiterpene lactones of *Artemisia klotzchiana*. Phytochemistry 24, 1515–1519.
- Richardson I. B. K. (1976), In: Flora Europae, vol. 4 (T. G. Tutin, V. H. Heywood, N. A. Burges, D. M. Moore, D. H. Valentine, S. M. Valters and D. A. Webb, ed.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, p. 161.

- Tan R. X., Jakupovic J., Bohlmann F., Jiaana Z. J. and Huneck S. (1991), Sesquiterpene lactones and other constituents from *Artemisia xerophytica*. Phytochemistry 30, 583-587.
- Todorova M. N., Krasteva M. L., Markova M. M., Tsankova E. T., Taskova R. M. and Peev D. R. (1998), Terpenoids from *Achillea clypeolata*. Phytochemistry **49**, 2371–2374.
- Todorova M. T., Markova M. M. and Tsankova E. T. (1999), Crithmifolide: a sesquiterpene lactone from *Achillea crithmifolia*. Phytochemistry **49**, 2429–2432.
- Zdero C., Bohlmann F. and Muller M. (1987), Sesquiterpene lactones and other constituents from *Eriocephalus* species. Phytochemistry **26**, 2763–2775.
- Zdero C. and Bohlmann F. (1989), A vetispiranolide and other sesquiterpene lactones from *Peyrousea umbellata*. Phytochemistry **28**, 3101–3104.